

ON BASS' QUESTION FOR FINITELY GENERATED ALGEBRAS OVER LARGE FIELDS

JOSEPH GUBELADZE

ABSTRACT. Recently Cortiñas-Haesemeyer-Walker-Weibel gave affirmative answer to Bass' 1972 question on NK -groups for algebras of essentially finite type over large fields of characteristic 0. Here we give an alternative short proof of this result for algebras of finite type over such fields. Our approach is based on classical techniques in higher K -theory of rings and a direct K_i -analogue of an old observation of Murthy-Pedrini, dating back from the same 1972.

Recently, for algebras R over the rationals Cortiñas-Haesemeyer-Walker-Weibel [5] gave explicit formulas for $NK_i(R) = K_i(R[X])/K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$, in terms of Hochschild homology and the cohomology of Kähler differentials in the cdh -topology. As a result, they gave affirmative answer to Bass' question whether $NK_i(R) = 0$ implies R is K -regular [2], when R is an algebra over a large field of characteristic 0:

Theorem 1. ([5, Theorem 0.1(b)]) *Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a characteristic 0 field of infinite transcendence degree and R an algebra of essentially finite type over $\mathbf{k}$. Then we have*

$$NK_i(R) = 0 \implies K_i(R) = K_i(R[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = 0, \quad n > 0.$$

(An algebra R over a field $\mathbf{k}$ is of essentially finite type if it is a localization of a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbf{k}$.)

In view of [4], for rings as in Theorem 1 and every index $i \geq \dim R + 1$ we obtain the equivalence:

$$NK_i(R) = 0 \iff R \text{ is regular.}$$

It is also shown in [5, Theorem 0.1(a)] that Theorem 1 fails for general normal surface singularities over all number fields, even for K_0 .

Here we give an alternative short proof of Theorem 1 in the special case when R is a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbf{k}$. The technique we use predates the 1990s.

All our rings are commutative. We also fix the K -theoretical index i . The bar over a field refers to the algebraic closure of the field.

For a functor $F : \mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab.Groups}$ the functor NF is defined by

$$NF(R) = \text{Coker}(F(R) \rightarrow F(R[X])).$$

In the notation of [1, Chap. XII, §7], for any ring R we have natural isomorphisms:

$$(1) \quad F(R[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = (1 + N)^n F(R), \quad n > 0.$$

For a ring R the Bloch-Stienstra action [3, 12] of the ring of big Witt vectors $W(R)$ on $NK_i(R)$ makes the latter a (continuous) $W(R)$ -module. For a systematic theory

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19D35; Secondary 14C35.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-0600929.

the reader is referred to [12]. Weibel [15] extended these actions to a (continuous) $W(R)$ -module structure on $K_i(A)/K_i(A_0)$ for a graded R -algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots$ with $R \subset A_0$.

We need the following consequences of the big Witt vectors' actions:

Proposition 2. *Let n be a natural number and the rings below contain $\mathbb{Q}$.*

- (a) *The groups $K_i(R[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(R)$ are modules over R and, hence, $\mathbb{Q}$.*
- (b) *For a Galois extension of fields $\mathbf{k}_1 \subset \mathbf{k}_2$ and a noetherian $\mathbf{k}_1$ -algebra R there is a natural $\mathbf{k}_2$ -linear isomorphism:*

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_1} (K_i(R[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(R)) = K_i((\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_1} R)[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_1} R).$$

- (c) *To prove Theorem 1 for a noetherian algebra R over a field $\mathbf{k}$, without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed.*

Proof. (a) follows from the diagonal embedding $R \rightarrow \prod_1^\infty R$, followed by the ghost isomorphism $\prod_1^\infty R \cong W(R)$

For (b) we need Galois descent in rational K -theory: for a finite Galois extension of noetherian rings $A \subset B$ (not necessarily $\mathbb{Q} \subset A$) with Galois group G we have

$$(2) \quad K_p(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = H^0(G, K_p(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

This is a special case of Thomason's étale descent [13, Lemma 2.13], [14, Proposition 11.10], worked out in detail for the reader's convenience in [6, Lemma 8.4].

Returning to our situation, the map

$$\varphi : K_i(R[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(R) \rightarrow K_i((\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_1} R)[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_1} R)$$

is $W(\mathbf{k}_1)$ -linear (compare with formula (1) in [7]). Also, if G is the Galois group of $\mathbf{k}_2$ over $\mathbf{k}_1$ then $H^0(G, W(\mathbf{k}_2)) = W(\mathbf{k}_1)$. In particular, the target group of φ is a Galois $\mathbf{k}_1$ -module. Therefore, (2) implies (b).

(c) follows from (b) because K_i commutes with inductive limits and so

$$\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} (K_i(R[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(R)) = K_i((\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R)[X_1, \dots, X_n])/K_i(\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R).$$

□

Remark 3. Alternatively, Proposition 2(b) can be derived from van der Kallen's result [10, Theorem 3.2] that for an étale extension of rings $A \subset B$, containing $\mathbb{Q}$, there is an isomorphism of $W(B)$ -modules

$$NK_i(B) = W(B) \otimes_{W(A)} NK_i(A).$$

See the comment after formula (5) in [7]. If one applies van der Kallen's formula to the situation of Proposition 2(b), the difference between the two operator rings $\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes W(R)$ and $W(\mathbf{k}_2 \otimes R)$, resulting from the two different approaches, is absorbed by the continuity property of the NK_i -groups as modules over the big Witt vectors.

What follows from this point on is a direct K_i -analogue of the corresponding facts from [11, §§1,2]:

Lemma 4 (Affine Horrocks for K_i). *Let R be a ring and $f \in R[X]$ be a monic polynomial with $\deg f > 0$. Then the map $K_i(R[X]) \rightarrow K_i(R[X]_f)$ is injective.*

Proof. Step 1. First we prove that the map $K_i(R) \rightarrow K_i(R[X]_f)$ is injective.

The rings $R[X]/(f-1)$ and $R[X]/(Xf-1)$ are free R -modules of ranks $\deg f$ and $1 + \deg f$, respectively. Therefore, the transfer maps for K_i , corresponding to the two composite homomorphisms:

$$R \longrightarrow R[X]_f \xrightarrow{1/f \mapsto 1} R[X]/(f-1),$$

$$R \longrightarrow R[X]_f \xrightarrow{1/f \mapsto \bar{X}} R[X]/(Xf-1),$$

show that $\ker(K_i(R) \rightarrow K_i(R[X]_f))$ is a torsion group for both exponents $\deg f$ and $1 + \deg f$. Therefore, it is a trivial group.

Step 2. Here we observe that for a ring R and two comaximal elements $a, b \in R$ the map

$$\ker(K_i(R) \rightarrow K_i(R_{ab})) \longrightarrow \ker(K_i(R_a) \rightarrow K_i(R_{ab}))$$

is surjective. This follows directly from Thomason's Mayer-Vietoris sequence [14, Theorem 8.1], applied to the open affine cover $\text{Spec}(R_a) \cup \text{Spec}(R_b) = \text{Spec}(R)$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_i(R) \rightarrow K_i(R_a) \oplus K_i(R_b) \rightarrow K_i(R_{ab}) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Notice. In the proof of Theorem 1 for finitely generated $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras we will have a and b both non-zerodivisors. It was observed by Murthy [9] that in such a situation the Mayer-Vietoris sequence above follows from Quillen's localization sequence.

Step 3. Assume $\alpha \in \ker(K_i(R[X]) \rightarrow K_i(R[X]_f))$. Let α' be the image of α under the map $K_i(R[X]) \rightarrow K_i(R[X, X^{-1}])$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &\in \ker(K_i(R[X, X^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_i(R[X]_{Xf})) = \\ &\quad \ker(K_i(R[X, X^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_i(R[X^{-1}]_{X^{-1}g})), \end{aligned}$$

where $g = X^{-\deg f} f \in R[X^{-1}]$. Since f is monic (in X), the elements $X^{-1}, g \in R[X^{-1}]$ are comaximal. Therefore, by step 2 there exists $\beta \in K_i(R[X^{-1}])$ such that $\beta' = \alpha'$, where β' is the image of β under the map $K_i(R[X^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_i(R[X, X^{-1}])$. Then $\alpha \in K_i(R[X]) \cap K_i(R[X^{-1}]) = K_i(R)$, the intersection being considered in $K_i(R[X, X^{-1}]) = K_i(R) \oplus NK_i(R) \oplus NK_i^-(R) \oplus K_{i-1}(R)$ (Fundamental Theorem of K -theory [8]). But then Step 1 implies $\alpha = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let R be a $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra, $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = 0$. Then the maps*

$$N^n K_i(R[X]) \rightarrow N^n K_i(\overline{\mathbf{k}(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R), \quad n > 0,$$

are injective

Proof. Because of the transfer maps for K_i and Proposition 2(a), the maps

$$N^n K_i(\mathbf{k}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R) \rightarrow \varinjlim N^n K_i(\mathbb{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R) = N^n K_i(\overline{\mathbf{k}(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R)$$

are injective for all $n > 0$, where the field $\mathbb{K}$ in the inductive limit runs over the finite extensions of $\mathbf{k}(X)$. So it is enough to show that the maps

$$N^n K_i(R[X]) \rightarrow N^n K_i(\mathbf{k}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R), \quad n > 0,$$

are injective. But this follows from Lemma 4, applied to the ambient groups

$$N^n K_i(R[X]) \subset K_i(R[X, X_1, \dots, X_n]),$$

which is possible because

$$\mathbf{k}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R[X_1, \dots, X_n] = \varinjlim R[X, X_1, \dots, X_n]_f,$$

the inductive limit being taken over the ring homomorphisms

$$R[X, X_1, \dots, X_n]_f \rightarrow R[X, X_1, \dots, X_n]_{fg}, \quad f, g \in \mathbf{k}[X].$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1 for finitely generated $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras. By Proposition 2(c) we can assume $\mathbf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}(X_\lambda)}_\Lambda$ for an infinite set of variables $\{X_\lambda\}_\Lambda$, not containing the variable X . Let R be a finitely generated $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

To prove Theorem 1, by (1) it is enough to show $N^n K_i(R) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. This we prove by induction on n , the case $n = 1$ being the hypothesis in Theorem 1. Assume $N^{n-1} K_i(R) = 0$. For simplicity, denote $\mathbf{F} = N^{n-1} K_i$.

Fix a representation

$$R = \frac{\mathbf{k}[t_1, \dots, t_m]}{(f_1, \dots, f_r)}.$$

There is a finite subset $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \subset \{X_\lambda\}_\Lambda$ such that the subfield

$$\mathbf{k}_0 = \overline{\mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \subset \mathbf{k}$$

contains the coefficients of $f_1, \dots, f_r$. Fix a bijection of the form

$$\varphi : \{X_\lambda\}_\Lambda \rightarrow \{X_\lambda\}_\Lambda \cup \{X\}, \quad \varphi(X_i) = X_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It gives rise to a $\mathbf{k}_0$ -algebra isomorphism

$$R \cong \overline{\mathbf{k}(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{k}(X, \{X_\lambda\}_\Lambda)}[t_1, \dots, t_m]}{(f_1, \dots, f_r)}.$$

So by Corollary 5 we have the sequence of injective maps:

$$\mathbf{F}(R) \oplus N\mathbf{F}(R) = \mathbf{F}(R[X]) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\overline{\mathbf{k}(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R) \cong \mathbf{F}(R) = 0.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, 1968
- [2] H. Bass, Some problems in 'classical' algebraic K-theory, In Algebraic K-theory II, pp. 3-73, *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. **342**, Springer, 1973.
- [3] S. Bloch, Some formulas pertaining to the K-theory of commutative groupschemes, *J. Algebra* **53** (1978), 304–326.
- [4] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, and C. Weibel, K -regularity, cdh -fibrant Hochschild homology, and a conjecture of Vorst. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (2008), 547–561.
- [5] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, M. Walker, and C. Weibel, Bass' NK -groups and cdh -fibrant Hochschild homology, preprint. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.1928>
- [6] J. Gubeladze, The nilpotence conjecture in K -theory of toric varieties, *Invent. math.* **160** (2005), 173–216.
- [7] J. Gubeladze, Global coefficient ring in the nilpotence conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 499 – 503
- [8] D. Grayson, Higher algebraic K-theory: II, In Algebraic K-Theory, pp. 217– 240, *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. **551**, Springer, 1976.
- [9] J. F. Jardine and V. P. Snaith (Ed.), The Lake Louise Problem Session, In Algebraic K -theory: connections with geometry and topology, p. 522, *NATO Advanced Science Institutes Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, **279**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [10] W. van der Kallen, Descent for the K-theory of polynomial rings, *Math. Z.* **191** (1986), 405–415.
- [11] P. Murthy and C. Pedrini, K_0 and K_1 of polynomial rings, In Algebraic K-theory II, p. 109–121, in *Lecture Notes in Math.* **342**, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [12] J. Stienstra, Operations in the higher K-theory of endomorphisms. In Current trends in algebraic topology, Semin. London/Ont., p. 59–115, *CMS Conf. Proc.* **2**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [13] R. W. Thomason, *Algebraic K-theory and étale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **18** (1985), 437–552.
- [14] R. W. Thomason and T. F. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of Schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift III, Prog. Math. **88**, Birkhäuser, 1990, 247–435.
- [15] C. Weibel, Module structures on the K -theory of graded rings, *J. Algebra* **105** (1987), 465–483.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94132, USA

E-mail address: soso@math.sfsu.edu